

UNITED STATES PATEL AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/615,026	07/13/2000	G. Michael Phillips	G. Michael Phillips 35512-00035		
7590 09/22/2004 Steven E Shapiro Esq Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP 11377 West Olympic Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90064			EXAMINER RAHMJOO, MANUCHER		
			2676		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 4 11 41						
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/615,02	26	PHILLIPS ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mike Rah		2676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY ions of time may be available under the provisions IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commerciad for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evi nunication. 0) days, a reply within the stati atutory period will apply and wi will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be time utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>30 July 2004</i> .						
•=	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
4)⊠ (4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· =	∑ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers							
9)□ Т	he specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)∏ A	acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
, –	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2	2. Certified copies of the priority			on No				
;	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority docume	ents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
					•			
Attachment(·s)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:								
•								

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 12- 17, and 19- 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by National Weather Service, hereinafter, NWS.

As per claim 1, 12, and 19- 22 NWS teaches obtaining a plurality of estimated data values see for example the plurality of estimated data values in different shadings; obtaining a calculated measure of statistical significance for each of said estimated data value see for example the calculated measure of statistical significance in percentages; displaying a graph of said plurality of estimated data values see for example the displayed graph; wherein each of said estimated data value is displayed at an intensity level that is a function of the calculated measure of statistical significance for said estimated data value see for example the graph displayed at different intensity levels.

As per claim 13, NWS teaches display characteristic is a size of a data point displayed for said estimated data value see for example the graph where each estimate as shown has a size.

Art Unit: 2676

As per claim 14- 17, NWS teaches hue, saturation, brightness and color characteristics for estimated data values see for example the graph where the series are in color or grayscale and have different brightness.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2- 11, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over NWS in view of Harvard Graphics.

As per claim 2 NWS does not teach identification of assets.

However, Harvard Graphics teaches each said estimated (projected) data value pertains to an asset (sales projections having exogenous variables that contribute to the projections made) and comprises a measure of the tendency of an asset to change as a result of a change in a data value for an exogenous variable on the chart of page 11-33.

It would have been made obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Harvard Graphics into NWS so that the projected sales including many variables (e.g. exogenous that contribute to the projections made as shown) are depicted as graphs which show actual (calculated) vs.

Art Unit: 2676

projected (estimated), therefore allowing easy and user friendly visual presentations to public.

As per claim 3, Harvard Graphics teaches estimated data values are displayed in a bar graph that includes a separate bar for each asset shown one per actual bar of the year on the chart of page 11- 33.

As per claim 4, NWS teaches an intensity level that is a function of the measure of statistical significance of the measure of the tendency of the values see for example the different intensity levels on the graph.

As per claim 5, Harvard Graphics teaches a height of each said bar is a second function of the measure of the tendency of the value of the asset to change as a result of a change in the data value for the exogenous variable see for example the chart of page 11- 33 wherein the heights change.

As per claim 6, Harvard Graphics teaches each said estimated data values was estimated using a regression equation, and wherein the measure of statistical significance is a p value that was calculated from the regression equation on page 11-44 wherein four types of regression (linear, exponential, logarithmic, and power curves) are used.

As per claim 7, Harvard Graphics teaches the function is linear on page 11-36.

As per claim 8, Harvard Graphics teaches the function is non-linear on page 11-36(log scale).

Art Unit: 2676

Page 5

As per claim 9 and 18, Harvard Graphics teaches each said data point is displayed as a bar in a bar graph on page 11- 33 where each bar represents the sales of one particular year.

As per claim 10, Harvard Graphics teaches statistical significance is an estimate of a probability that an actual value for said estimated statistic is outside of a specified confidence interval around an estimated value for said estimated statistic on page 11-33 where the projected sales and the actual sales fall outside of each other by some margin which in this case is in units of millions of dollars.

As per claim 11, Harvard Graphics teaches calculation of the intensity for each said data point comprises determining 1 minus said estimate of probability (also discussed on pages 11- 42, 43 where the series are in color or grayscale).

Art Unit: 2676

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Rahmjoo whose telephone number is (703) 305-5658. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (703) 308-6829. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

Mike Rahmjoo

September 17, 2004

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Marker (Bella